

On Pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians

Soumendu Sundar Mukherjee^{1, a)} and Pinaki Roy^{2, b)}

¹⁾ Master of Statistics student, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata 700108, India

²⁾ Physics & Applied Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata 700108, India

(Dated: 22 January 2014)

We investigate some questions on the construction of η operators for pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians. We give a sufficient condition which can be exploited to systematically generate a sequence of η operators starting from a known one, thereby proving the non-uniqueness of η for a particular pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian. We also study perturbed Hamiltonians for which η 's corresponding to the original Hamiltonian still work.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ca; 03.65.Aa

Keywords: pseudo-Hermitian, quasi-Hermitian, real spectra, momentum dependent interaction

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been a growing interest in the study of non-Hermitian quantum mechanics¹, primarily because a class of these Hamiltonians admit real eigenvalues despite being non-Hermitian. Among the different non-Hermitian systems the ones with $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry²⁻⁶ and those which are η -pseudo-Hermitian⁷⁻¹¹ have been the most widely studied. Lately non-Hermitian quantum mechanics have found applications in diverse areas of physics¹²⁻¹⁷.

Here our objective is to examine some features of η -pseudo-Hermitian models⁷⁻¹¹. It may be recalled that a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is called η -pseudo-Hermitian if it satisfies the condition

$$\eta \mathcal{H} \eta^{-1} = \mathcal{H}^\dagger, \quad (1)$$

where η is a Hermitian linear automorphism⁷⁻¹¹. However, there is no definite method to determine η and for different models it has to be constructed in different ways. Another characteristic of η is that it is not unique. Here we propose to examine the construction of η operators for a class of η -pseudo-Hermitian matrix Hamiltonians. We shall also discuss briefly non-matrix Hamiltonians.

II. TWO THEOREMS ON η

In this section we consider a particular class of pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians and present a general procedure to get an infinite set of η 's provided that we know one for a particular Hamiltonian. This will, in particular, explicitly demonstrate that η is not unique. We shall also construct a class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians whose members are pseudo-Hermitian with respect to the same η .

Theorem II.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian that is pseudo-Hermitian, i.e. there exists a Hermitian linear automorphism η such that $\eta \mathcal{H} \eta^{-1} = \mathcal{H}^\dagger$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}^\dagger$ is invertible. Then there exists a possibly infinite no. of η 's, with respect to which $\mathcal{H}$ is pseudo-Hermitian.*

Proof. Let $\eta_0 = \eta$. Define a sequence $\{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ by $\eta_k = \mathcal{H}^\dagger \eta_{k-1}$. We claim that each η in $\{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ renders $\mathcal{H}$ pseudo-Hermitian.

We prove the claim by induction. Suppose that for some $m \geq 0$, η_m satisfies the claim, i.e., η_m is a Hermitian linear automorphism with $\eta_m \mathcal{H} \eta_m^{-1} = \mathcal{H}^\dagger$. Consider η_{m+1} . Clearly,

$$\eta_{m+1}^\dagger = (\mathcal{H}^\dagger \eta_m)^\dagger = \eta_m^\dagger \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^\dagger \eta_m = \eta_{m+1}.$$

Also, as both $\mathcal{H}^\dagger$ and η_m are linear automorphisms, so is η_{m+1} . It remains therefore to check that $\eta_{m+1} \mathcal{H} \eta_{m+1}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}^\dagger$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{m+1} \mathcal{H} \eta_{m+1}^{-1} &= \mathcal{H}^\dagger \eta_m \mathcal{H} (\mathcal{H}^\dagger \eta_m)^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{H}^\dagger \eta_m \mathcal{H} \eta_m^{-1} (\mathcal{H}^\dagger)^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{H}^\dagger \mathcal{H}^\dagger (\mathcal{H}^\dagger)^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{H}^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction since η_0 satisfies the claim by definition. $\square$

Remark II.1. By the definition in the above theorem we have $\eta_k = (\mathcal{H}^\dagger)^k \eta$.

Example II.1. Consider now a quantum particle on a coordinate axis consisting of two points. The Hamiltonian for this system is given by a 2×2 matrix of the form¹⁸

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \bar{y} & \bar{x} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where $\Im(x) \neq 0$. This Hamiltonian is $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric¹⁸. However it is also pseudo Hermitian w.r.t. the following

^{a)} soumendu041@gmail.com

^{b)} pinaki@isical.ac.in

choice of η :

$$\eta = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ \bar{y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } y \neq 0; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, in the case $y = 0$, we have

$$\eta_k = (\mathcal{H}^\dagger)^k \eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{x}^k \\ x^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Although the operator can be also be obtained when $y \neq 0$, the expression for $(\mathcal{H}^\dagger)^k$ is cumbersome and so, we omit it.

Example II.2. Consider now a two level system associated with the classical motion of a simple harmonic oscillator with frequency $\omega(t)$. Then the relevant Hamiltonian is of the form⁷⁻¹¹

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i\omega(t)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

It can be easily verified that in this case one can choose

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here $|\mathcal{H}(t)| = -\omega(t)^2$, which is non-vanishing if $\omega(t) \neq 0$. Then an application of Theorem II.1 gives $\eta_k(t) = \mathcal{H}^\dagger(t)^k \eta$. Noting that $\mathcal{H}^\dagger(t)^2 = \omega(t)^2 \mathbf{I}_2$, we have

$$\eta_k(t) = \begin{cases} \omega(t)^k \eta, & \text{when } k \text{ is even;} \\ \omega(t)^{k-1} \mathcal{H}^\dagger(t) \eta, & \text{when } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Next we investigate if a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian is pseudo-Hermitian with respect to a particular η , then whether or not there exists other Hamiltonians which are pseudo-Hermitian with respect to the same η . Observe that if an η works for two different non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, it still works for their difference, which need not be non-Hermitian in general. We use this observation in the following

Theorem II.2. Consider a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose η is a Hermitian linear automorphism such that $\eta \mathcal{H} \eta^{-1} = \mathcal{H}^\dagger$. Further suppose K is Hermitian and commutes with η . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} + K$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is also non-Hermitian and pseudo Hermitian with respect to η .

Proof. Clearly, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\dagger = \mathcal{H}^\dagger + K^\dagger = \mathcal{H}^\dagger + K \neq \mathcal{H} + K = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. So, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is not Hermitian. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \eta^{-1} &= \eta(\mathcal{H} + K) \eta^{-1} \\ &= \eta \mathcal{H} \eta^{-1} + \eta K \eta^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{H}^\dagger + K \eta \eta^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{H}^\dagger + K \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\dagger, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark II.2. Recall that a pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian is called *quasi-Hermitian* if (1) holds with η being a *positive* Hermitian linear automorphism¹⁹⁻²¹. The positiveness of η guarantees that $\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is well-defined and then $\mathcal{H}_\eta := \eta^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H} \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ becomes a Hermitian Hamiltonian. In other words, $\mathcal{H}$ becomes a Hermitian Hamiltonian in the induced Hilbert space with induced inner product $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_\eta := \langle \phi | \eta \psi \rangle$. Note that Theorem II.2 remains valid for quasi-Hermitian Hamiltonians also.

Remark II.3. Under the hypotheses of Theorem II.2, $f(K)$ is also Hermitian for any $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, the ring of all real polynomials, and commutes with η . Thus, the same η works for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} + f(K)$ also.

Below we give two automatic choices for K .

1. Take $K = \alpha \eta$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then K satisfies the hypotheses of the above theorem and hence η works for the class of Hamiltonians defined by $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \mathcal{H} + \alpha \eta$.
2. Take $K = \alpha \mathbf{I}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then clearly K is Hermitian and commutes with every other operator, in particular η . Thus K satisfies the hypotheses of the above theorem and hence for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} + \alpha \mathbf{I}$, the same η works.

Considering Remark II.3 these two choices can be united into $K = f(\eta)$, where $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, and η^0 is defined to be $\mathbf{I}$.

Thus we see that if one has already constructed the operator η for a particular pseudo-Hermitian (or quasi-Hermitian) Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, then the same η will work for several perturbed versions of $\mathcal{H}$. We illustrate this idea with some examples.

Example II.3. Consider the Hamiltonian in Example II.2. Here

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,k}(t) = \mathcal{H}(t) + \alpha \eta_k(t),$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,k}(t)$ is pseudo-Hermitian with respect to $\eta_k(t)$.

We shall now consider a class of non-matrix Hamiltonians. It was shown by Bender and Boettcher¹ that each member of the class of Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H} = p^2 + m^2 x^2 - (ix)^N$, N real, has a real spectrum and they conjectured that this is due to $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry. Although several $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric Hamiltonians were found to possess real discrete spectra, it was also found that the non- $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric complex potential of the form²²,

$$\tilde{V}(x) = \alpha V(x - \beta - i\gamma) \quad (4)$$

always yields a real spectrum and Hamiltonians with these potentials are pseudo-Hermitian with respect to

$$\eta = e^{-\theta p}, \quad (5)$$

where the parameter $\theta = 2\gamma$ takes different forms for different potentials.

It may be noted that $e^{-\theta p}$ has the following two nice properties:

1. $e^{-\theta p} c e^{\theta p} = c$, for any constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and
2. $e^{-\theta p} p e^{\theta p} = p$.

In fact, it follows from the above two properties that $e^{-\theta p} f(p) e^{\theta p} = f(p)$, where $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, the ring of all complex polynomials. Now, since p is Hermitian, we can take $K = p$ or more generally, in view of Remark II.3,

$$K = f(p), \text{ where } f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x].$$

So, $\eta = e^{-\theta p}$ renders $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ pseudo-Hermitian with an appropriate choice of θ , where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = p^2 + f(p) + \tilde{V}(x),$$

and $\tilde{V}(x)$ is given by (4). It may be noted that the above Hamiltonian represents momentum dependent interaction²³.

Example II.4. *In view of the discussion in the above paragraph, let us look at a concrete example. Take $f(x) = \alpha x$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = p^2 + \alpha p + \tilde{V}(x).$$

Remark II.4. The assumption in Theorem II.1 that $\mathcal{H}^\dagger$ is invertible seems to be a bit restrictive. We can, however, relax this assumption to some extent by combining Theorems II.1 and II.2 in the following manner. Note that invertibility of $\mathcal{H}^\dagger$ is equivalent to 0 not being in the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ has a discrete spectrum $\text{spec}(\mathcal{H})$ (By definition, for an operator $\mathcal{H}$ on a complex Hilbert space, $\text{spec}(\mathcal{H}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathcal{H} \text{ is singular}\}$). If $0 \notin \text{spec}(\mathcal{H})$, Theorem II.1 is readily applicable. If not, then we can find $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $0 \notin \text{spec}(\mathcal{H} + \alpha \mathbf{I})$. Defining $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} + \alpha \mathbf{I}$, it follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\dagger$ is invertible. Now Theorem II.2 applies to $\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}} + (-\alpha) \mathbf{I}$. So, the class of η 's generated for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ using Theorem II.1 works for $\mathcal{H}$.

III. SUMMARY

Let us now summarize the main results of this paper. We have given sufficient conditions using which one can systematically construct a sequence of η operators for a pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian starting from a known one. We have also investigated conditions which ensure that a single η operator works for two different pseudo-Hermitian (or quasi-Hermitian) Hamiltonians. As the construction of an η operator is not always straightforward for a general non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, these conditions are useful to enlarge the class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians to which a known η operator applies. This ideas are illustrated through a few useful examples.

- ¹C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, "Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians having $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry," *Physical Review Letters* **80**, 5243 (1998).
- ²C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, and P. N. Meisinger, " $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric quantum mechanics," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **40**, 2201 (1999).
- ³C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, "Complex extension of quantum mechanics," *Physical Review Letters* **89**, 270401 (2002).
- ⁴F. Fernández, R. Guardiola, J. Ros, and M. Znojil, "Strong-coupling expansions for the $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric oscillators," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **31**, 10105 (1998).
- ⁵G. Lévai and M. Znojil, "Systematic search for $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric potentials with real energy spectra," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **33**, 7165 (2000).
- ⁶G. Lévai, F. Cannata, and A. Ventura, " $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry breaking and explicit expressions for the pseudo-norm in the Scarf II potential," *Physics Letters A* **300**, 271–281 (2002).
- ⁷A. Mostafazadeh, "Pseudo-Hermiticity versus $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry: the necessary condition for the reality of the spectrum of a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 205 (2002).
- ⁸A. Mostafazadeh, "Pseudo-Hermiticity versus $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry. II. A complete characterization of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with a real spectrum," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 2814 (2002).
- ⁹A. Mostafazadeh, "Pseudo-Hermiticity versus $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry III: Equivalence of pseudo-Hermiticity and the presence of antilinear symmetries," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 3944 (2002).
- ¹⁰A. Mostafazadeh, "Pseudo-Hermiticity for a class of nondiagonalizable Hamiltonians," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 6343 (2002).
- ¹¹A. Mostafazadeh, "Pseudo-supersymmetric quantum mechanics and isospectral pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians," *Nuclear Physics B* **640**, 419–434 (2002).
- ¹²S. Longhi, "Bloch Oscillations in Complex Crystals with $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 123601 (2009).
- ¹³T. Kottos, "Optical physics: Broken symmetry makes light work," *Nature Physics* **6**, 166–167 (2010).
- ¹⁴S. Longhi, " $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric laser absorber," *Physical Review A* **82**, 031801 (2010).
- ¹⁵K. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, "Beam dynamics in $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric optical lattices," *Physical Review Letters* **100**, 103904 (2008).
- ¹⁶A. Guo, G. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. Siviloglou, and D. Christodoulides, "Observation of $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry breaking in complex optical potentials," *Physical review letters* **103**, 93902 (2009).
- ¹⁷C. E. Rüter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, "Observation of parity-time symmetry in optics," *Nature Physics* **6**, 192–195 (2010).
- ¹⁸C. M. Bender, M. Berry, and A. Mandilara, "Generalized $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry and real spectra," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **35**, L467 (2002).
- ¹⁹R. Kretschmer and L. Szymanowski, "Quasi-Hermiticity in infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces," *Physics Letters A* **325**, 112–117 (2004).
- ²⁰F. Scholtz, H. Geyer, and F. Hahne, "Quasi-hermitian operators in quantum mechanics and the variational principle," *Annals of Physics* **213**, 74–101 (1992).
- ²¹P. Siegl, *Quasi-Hermitian Models*, Ph.D. thesis, Master's thesis (Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, CTU, Prague) ssmf.fjfi.cvut.cz/2008/siegl thesis.pdf (2008).
- ²²Z. Ahmed, "Pseudo-Hermiticity of Hamiltonians under imaginary shift of the coordinate: real spectrum of complex potentials," *Physics Letters A* **290**, 19–22 (2001).
- ²³M. S. Swanson, "Transition elements for a non-Hermitian quadratic Hamiltonian," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **45**, 585 (2004).